"LISTS" OF EDCs: How Reliable Are They?

Some lists of chemicals are created without a scientific basis, yet they are often characterized as definitive science. To illustrate this point, four well-known "lists" of endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) were evaluated against seven criteria to indicate their quality and reliability. A green check indicates that the list fully satisfies the criterion. A yellow dash means that it only partially satisfies the criterion. And a red X means the list fails to satisfy the criterion. How many of the lists fully satisfy scientific standards? None. For a more in-depth analysis of the lists, please visit endocrinescience.org.

many of the lists in-depth analy				
	SIN LIST	DANISH EPA	TEDX	EU REACH SVHC
Do the authors of the list adopt & fully enforce the widely- accepted WHO/IPCS definition of an EDC?				
Is the list based on risk assessment science – which considers hazard, exposure, & potency?				
Was the list created, recognized, or sanctioned by at least one government entity?				
Did the authors have the appropriate training and/or expertise to develop a scientific list?				
Is a formal process in place to receive outside scientific feedback or appeal the listing of a chemical?				
Do the authors rely on a scientific process to update the list as new information becomes available?				
Is the list suitable for informing product safety decisions by suppliers, retailers,				

or consumers?